

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	- FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,316	10/635,316 08/06/2003		Jawed Asrar .	. MOBT 140 CON (2)	4136	
. 23579	7590	08/02/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
PATREA L			SZEKELY,	SZEKELY, PETER A		
PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 COLONY SQUARE SUITE 1200 ATLANTA, GA 30361				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1714		
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/635,316	ASRAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter Szekely	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 66-127 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 66-127 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dipected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/635,316

Art Unit: 1714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 68, 71, 82, 86, 95, 109 and 120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The phrase "greater than about" renders claims 68, 82 and 95 indefinite. It has to be either about or greater than, not both. See Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991). In claims 71, 86, 109 and 120 the conjunction "or" is in the wrong place.

# **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 66-127 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-50 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,869. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent represent a species of the genus of the instant application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 66, 78-80, 94, 102, 104, 105 and 116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McBride et al. 5,217,803 or Nemphos et al. 5,300,576.
- 8. McBride et al. disclose PHBV in claim 12, antioxidants and heat stabilizers in column 7, lines49-51 and column 8, lines 21-22 and pellets in column 9, lines 19-25. For film processing see from column 9, lines 26-51 and the Examples. Nemphos et al. teach PHA-s in column 3, lines 10-16, pellets in column 7, line 2 and heat stabilizers and antioxidants in column 7, lines 13-14. Applicants' claims are not novel.
- 9. Claims 66, 78-80, 83, 89, 94, 102, 104, 105, 116,123 and 124 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hammond et al. 5,753,782.
- 10. Hammond et al. recite PHA-s from column 1, line 6, through column 3, line 32, acetyl tri-n-butyl citrate in column 4, lines 4 an 5. Phosphoric and phosphonic acid derivatives, which are plasticizers having heat stabilizing properties, can be found in

Art Unit: 1714

column 5, lines 38-43. For pellets (granules) see column 6, lines 54-64. Boron nitride nucleant is mentioned in column 6, lines 47-53. Films are shown in the paragraph overlapping columns 6 and 7. Applicants' claims are not novel.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 13. Claims 66-73, 76, 78-89, 92, 94-11,114 and 116-127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Herring et al. 5,061,743, in view of Bright et al. 5,502,273 or Hammond et al. 5,753,782, further in view of McBride et al. 5,217,803 or Nemphos et al. 5,300,576.
- 14. Herring et al. reveal PHA-s, phosphinic acid derivatives and metal salts in claim 1, zinc and magnesium stearate and zinc oxide in claim 8. For molecular weights see

Application/Control Number: 10/635,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

the Examples. The molecular weights are so high that even after extrusion the resulting molecular weight must be over 430,000. The organophosphinic acid or ester thereof is defined as any derivative of said ester or acid (column 4, lines 46-50). Dry granules (pellets) are shown in Example 5. Applicants' claims are not novel. In the alternative, Bright et al. state in column 1, lines 52-63 that PHA-s are normally supplied in the form of pellets. The contents of the other cited references have been discussed already. It would have been obvious to on having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to pelletize the composition of Herring et al. according to Bright et al., add the plasticizing citrates or the nucleating boron nitride of Hammond et al., since they are customarily used with PHA-s and phosphinic acid derivatives, and make articles using the methods of the tertiary references, because they prove that the way of making films out of PHA compositions is customary and obvious.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,316 Page 6

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 7/27/05